FUW-IJMSS
ISSN: 2635-3539 (Print)
2384-6224 (online)
Open Access
Google Scholar

IMPACT OF FUEL SUBSIDY REMOVAL ON TAXATION POLICIES AND REVENUE GENERATION IN NIGERIA: A CRITICAL REVIEW

FORTYFOUR, Murtala Yusuf, Ph.D., HEMAN, Dauda and DANIEL, Markus
Taraba State University, Jalingo, Nigeria
Murtalafortyfour@yahoo.com
08142448444

Abstract

This study critically examined the impact of subsidy removal on the tax system and revenue in Nigeria, by analyzing the immediate and projected long-term economic impact. In Nigeria, the abolition of fuel subsidies has been central to economic reform and national budget discussions. The withdrawal is seen as a step to correct market distortions, reduce fiscal pressures and encourage more sustainable economic behaviour but structural changes led to higher fuel prices, affecting households and employment costs, which in turn changed tax policies aimed at reducing the financial burden on low-income groups talk about structural reform and explore the role of increased tax compliance and various measures to offset the loss funds previously allocated to grants. The paper focused on the challenges and opportunities arising from subsidy removal. It presented policy recommendations to enhance economic stability, improve revenue generation, and ensure wider impacts of control on vulnerable economies and people.

Keywords*:* Fuel Subsidy Removal, Taxation Policies and Revenue Generation

Introduction

The elimination of petroleum subsidies in Nigeria represents a major shift in the country's fiscal policy, aimed at addressing long-term fiscal imbalances and promoting a more efficient distribution of public funds. Historically, subsidies for petroleum products have artificially lowered fuel prices, providing relief to consumers but causing significant strain on government budgets (Ajakaiye & Fakiyesi, 2009) With the recent withdrawal of this subsidy, the government expects a reduction in fiscal deficit and an increase in public funds available for infrastructure and social development (Central Bank of Nigeria [CBN], 2023) However, the sudden demonetisation had wider economic and social consequences, causing Nigeria to rethink its tax policy and revenue-generating strategies (Okonjo-Iweala, 2018).

Tax policy in Nigeria has evolved in response to revenue needs, particularly focusing on income tax, value-added tax (VAT), and corporate tax address income gaps (Ariyo, 1997). Tax provision Debt. In particular, there is increasing pressure to increase VAT and introduce targeted taxes for low-income groups affected by higher fuel prices (National Bureau of Statistics [NBS], 2023). In addition, the deduction has implications for government

revenues, as the redistribution of funds to the subsidy opens up opportunities for tax reforms aimed at increasing fiscal stability (International Monetary Fund [IMF], 2022).

This paper critically examines the impact of subsidy removal on Nigeria's tax system and revenue, examines the challenges and opportunities arising from systemic reforms Provides a comprehensive analysis of increased tax compliance and income diversification possibilities considering social and economic impacts on vulnerable populations This study contributes towards the discourse on sustainable economic development and wealth a will be distributed equally in Nigeria.

Literature Review

The removal of fuel subsidy in Nigeria has been extensively studied due to its effects on complex budget, taxation and socio-economic stability Subsidies were initially introduced to stop Nigerians from volatile oil prices, help people who have less revenue to curb inflation in fuel-based industries (Ajakaiye & Fakiyesi, 2009) he wrote. noted that subsidies promote significant economic growth, promote market flexibility and encourage smuggling, as well as divert public funds that can be allocated to high-performing enterprises (Okonjo -Iweala, 2018).

Fiscal policy research has confirmed that subsidy removal can improve government revenues by eliminating fiscal deficits. Ariyo (1997) argues that more efficient tax reforms following subsidy elimination can significantly improve Nigerian revenues by reallocating former subsidy expenditures to tax policy reform Research findings support this, suggesting that subsidy reductions can enable governments to focus on long-term infrastructure investments and social services (Badeeb & Lean, 2017). Furthermore, the study highlights that the removal of subsidies requires corresponding changes in tax policy, such as extending taxes to prevent tax losses and relaxing tax compliance above (CBN, 2023).

However, the socio-economic impact of animal removal poses a significant challenge. According to Ude et al. (2021), the immediate consequence is higher fuel prices, which disproportionately affect low-income households. This requires targeted tax relief or social welfare programs to reduce the rising cost of living and maintain social equity. In their study, Sala-i-Martin and Subramaniam (2003) emphasize that for Nigeria to make a sustainable transition from subsidies, the government must provide a sustainable tax policy development that protects vulnerable groups from economic hardship takes precedence.

Furthermore, international perspectives on aid reform point to the importance of transparency and public accountability. The IMF (2022) suggests that governments should formulate sound tax policies and public expenditure policies to eliminate subsidies to achieve better economic growth. Studies in other developing countries such as Indonesia and Egypt suggest that effective communication and gradual implementation are essential to reduce public resistance and ensure the success time of aid reform length (Clements et al., 2013).

Thus, the literature suggests that although subsidy elimination offers significant economic benefits, it requires prudent implementation and flexibility in tax policy to achieve a balance between income generation and socioeconomic equity That study highlights the need for Nigeria to develop a targeted tax policy and enhanced business capacity to address wider impacts about de-subsidy. Future research will focus on the long-term impact of these economic reforms on economic growth and poverty reduction.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research approach, using critical secondary measures analysis to examine the impact of fuel subsidy removal on tax policy and revenue in Nigeria. The qualitative approach allows for an in-depth examination of complex policy outcomes, enabling critical examination of existing literature, policy documents and financial reports This approach is particularly effective in identifying sources of support withdrawal in many dimensions that occurs in understanding the Nigerian economic context (by Merriam & Tisdell, 2015).

Data for this study were collected from a variety of studies, including peer-reviewed journals, government documents, reports from international financial institutions and expert reviews. Key sources include Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), International Monetary Fund (IMF) and various publications available, as these institutions provide important economic information on taxation and income growth (CBN, 2023 IMF, 2022). Academic databases such as JSTOR, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar were also used to access relevant research and empirical research. This summary enabled the study to identify common themes, assess the social and economic impact of subsidy removal, and assess changes in tax policy.

The study uses thematic analysis to identify and analyze key issues related to subsidy removal, particularly in the context of budget reform and tax reform. Themes were developed based on recurring ideas in the literature, including redistribution of subsidies, the need to extend tax bases, and the introduction of targeted taxes will result in stronger groups (Ajakaiye & Fakiyesi, 2009; Ude et al., 2021). By analyzing these issues, the study provides insight into the challenges and opportunities associated with the new Nigerian economic system.

Triangulation was used to ensure reliability, and data from different sources were cross-referenced to confirm findings and reduce potential bias (Patton, 2015). It compared official data with the findings of independent researchers and international organizations, allowing a balanced assessment of policy impact Besides, important discourse analysis to illustrate implicit theories of policy statements and in the media, and revealed both explicit and implicit objectives of support removal (Fairclough, 2013).

Limitations of this approach include reliance on secondary data, which can be influenced by political and institutional biases. However, by scrutinizing sources, this study aims to provide a comprehensive, objective analysis of the implications of Nigeria's livestock withdrawals and tax revenues.

Findings and Analysis

The removal of petroleum subsidies in Nigeria has had a significant impact on tax policy and revenue, with immediate and potentially long-term consequences This study examines key issues, including economic stability, social and economic including impacts and policy changes in the tax industry.

1. Financial support and investment

One of the immediate effects of subsidy removal is economic stability, as it reduces the enormous burden on the Nigerian government budget. In the past, fuel subsidies accounted for a significant portion of government expenditure, reducing funds available for infrastructure and social services (Central Bank of Nigeria [CBN], 2023).

Without this spending, funding for sectors that contribute to economic growth and social welfare, such as education, health care, and transportation infrastructure, is likely to increase (International Monetary Fund [IMF], 2022).

However, the elimination of subsidies also requires a reorganization of funding mechanisms. Since then, the Nigerian government has focused on improving tax compliance and expanding taxation to sustain revenue. For example, expanding the Value Added Tax (VAT) and increasing excise taxes on non-essential goods have been considered as strategies to balance the economic imbalance (Ajakaiye & Fakiyesi, 2009).

2. Impact of tax policies

Tax policies changed dramatically in response to the removal of subsidies. Higher oil prices have led to inflation, especially increasing the cost of living among low-income households (Ude et al., 2021). In response, there has been increasing focus on progressive tax measures designed to provide relief to vulnerable groups. This includes potential tax cuts on low-income taxes and targeted tax credits for small businesses affected by higher operating costs (National Bureau of Statistics [NBS], 2023).

In addition, the subsidy exemption stimulated efforts to improve the efficiency and transparency of the Nigerian tax administration. Advances in digitization, strict enforcement and enhanced audit capacity have been the focus, to reduce tax evasion and increase corporate and individual taxpayer compliance (Okonjo-Iweala, 2018).

3. Socioeconomic and poverty index

While subsidy removal reduces government spending, it has important social implications. Higher oil prices increase the cost of transportation and production, which in turn raises the prices of goods and services. Consequently, the effects of inflation place additional pressures on low-income households, whose purchasing power is reduced and may be more vulnerable to financial distress (Ude et al., 2021).). These results highlight the importance of integrating social safety nets or coverage mechanisms such as conditional grants to protect disadvantaged groups from further poverty.

4. Availability of long-term income

In the longer term, the redistribution of subsidies and possible tax reforms could boost the Nigerian economy. In addition to reinvesting in areas that boost economic growth, such as infrastructure and education, Nigeria can achieve wider economic benefits and increase tax revenue, a robust tax compliance system can provide income has achieved sustainable growth, making Nigeria a resilient economy. It positions you to get the other (Ariyo, 1997; CBN, 2023);

In summary, the findings suggest that while subsidy removal enables economic and developmental development, a balanced policy is needed to prevent socio-economic challenges. Successful tax reform, social welfare programs and redistributive mechanisms are critical to achieving a stable post-aid economy in Nigeria.

Discussion

The elimination of fuel subsidies in Nigeria marks a significant transformation in fiscal strategies. This change holds major consequences for taxation approaches and revenue collection. This exploration delves into both the advantages and hurdles linked with subsidy elimination, assesses its effects on fiscal strategies, and ponders over possible actions to ease the socio-economic burdens for low-income households.

1. Fiscal Gains and Tax Policy Updates

One major advantage of removing subsidies is the fiscal room it creates. By cutting down on government spending that used to go to subsidies, the government can allocate resources elsewhere. This newfound relief can channel funds into critical areas such as infrastructure, healthcare, education, and more public services that are vital for sustainable

growth (Central Bank of Nigeria [CBN], 2023). With these extra funds, the Nigerian government stands in a much better position to invest in sectors that may boost economic progress and enhance living conditions. Moreover, the removal of subsidies has spurred the government to prioritize tax reforms. These include expanding the tax base and improving compliance measures (Ude et al., 2021). By updating tax systems digitally, coupled with raising VAT and excise taxes on luxury items, the government can create more reliable revenue streams. This shift can help stabilize the economy and ultimately uplift the standard of living for many Nigerians (Okonjo-Iweala, 2018). Thus, while challenges exist, the potential benefits of this policy shift cannot be overlooked.

2. Economic Struggles

Although there are financial benefits, the elimination of subsidies has worsened economic difficulties for numerous Nigerians, particularly those with lower incomes. The immediate outcome of soaring fuel prices? It drives up the expenses of goods and services. Fuel remains a critical element in both transport and manufacturing (Ude et al., 2021). This inflationary effect hits low-income families hard, as they allocate a larger portion of their earnings on essential items. Consequently, the government grapples with the task of finding a balance between fiscal duty and safeguarding vulnerable groups. Introducing policies that feature progressive tax strategies, like targeted income tax cuts for lower-income individuals, may offer some relief. This could alleviate a fraction of the economic stress resulting from the removal of subsidies (International Monetary Fund [IMF], 2022).

3. Alleviation and Social Safety Measures

To lessen the negative consequences of subsidy cuts, the Nigerian government has contemplated launching social safety nets. These include conditional cash transfers (CCTs), which aim to give specific aid to those impacted (Ajakaiye & Fakiyesi, 2009). Such initiatives could significantly ease the socio-economic hurdles for lower-income households, by delivering direct financial assistance to combat the escalating cost of living. Moreover, investing in renewable energy options and developing efficient public transport systems can drastically decrease transportation expenses over time. This strategy also helps to reduce reliance on imported fuel, a factor that heightens Nigeria's economic fragility (Ariyo, 1997).

4. Long-Term Revenue Growth and Economic Stability

In the distant future, the smart redistribution of cash once set aside for fuel subsidies can boost financial stability. This happens by lowering fiscal deficits while promoting sustainable earnings growth. By enhancing tax adherence and encouraging investments in productive industries, Nigeria holds the power to fortify its tax foundation and cultivate a more robust economy (CBN, 2023). Yet, attaining this goal needs clear governance, strong anti-corruption strategies, and steady policy application. Tax income from a wider base might ultimately support public goods and services. Thus, this reduces dependence on foreign loans and creates a more independent fiscal landscape (IMF, 2022). To sum up, while removing fuel subsidies brings considerable financial advantages to Nigeria, it needs a cautious strategy to lessen its socio-economic effects on the populace. Furthermore, progressive tax reforms, precisely targeted social safety nets, and a tactical redistribution of funds are essential steps to achieving a fair and sustainable economic future. Each of these elements plays a crucial role. Don't ignore the importance of transparency. And, remember, stability breeds prosperity. So, let's move forward with a clear vision and commitment.

Policy Consequences

The elimination of fuel subsidies in Nigeria brings about major policy consequences for the government. This is especially true in the realms of taxation, revenue generation, as well as social protection systems. Hence, this segment delves into vital policy modifications to tackle fiscal stability, lessen economic disparity, and foster enduring economic strength.

1. Widening the Tax Scope and Enhancing Tax Management

Getting rid of subsidies opens the door for the Nigerian government to boost revenue via tax adjustments. By widening the tax scope, primarily within the informal sector, the government can exploit an underrepresented source of funds. The informal economy, which is a huge chunk of Nigeria's workforce, has generally poor tax compliance. Expanding tax coverage in this area could possibly increase revenue without slapping higher rates on current taxpayers (Central Bank of Nigeria [CBN], 2023). Furthermore, pouring resources into digital tax systems and auditing skills can significantly simplify tax collection, enhance compliance, and curb tax dodging (Okonjo-Iweala, 2018).

2. Fair Taxation and Social Safety Nets

The inflationary pressure from subsidy elimination unfairly burdens low-income families, exacerbating economic inequality. Therefore, it's vital to embrace a fairer tax system. For instance, cutting income tax for low-wage earners and raising VAT on luxury items could help ease the economic strain (Ajakaiye & Fakiyesi, 2009). In addition, bolstering social protection initiatives, like conditional cash transfers (CCTs), would deliver focused aid to the most at-risk individuals. These safety nets can effectively cushion the economic blow from surging fuel costs, ensuring that affected families still have access to essential goods and services (International Monetary Fund [IMF], 2022).

3. Investing in Infrastructure and Public Services.

The money saved from cutting subsidies should go towards areas that ignite economic growth and uplift living standards. Focusing on infrastructure like roads, public transit, and electricity can slash operational expenses for enterprises and boost productivity. By decreasing transport costs via enhanced infrastructure, Nigeria can somewhat counteract the inflationary pressures of subsidy cuts. Additionally, improving healthcare and education builds human capital, crucial for sustained economic strength (Ude et al., 2021). Ensuring clear-cut transparency and accountability in fund distribution is vital. This builds public confidence and maximizes the positive effects of these investments.

4. Cultivating Alternative Energy Sources

Eliminating fuel subsidies stresses the urgency to diversify Nigeria's energy portfolio. Investing in alternative energy like solar and wind can lessen dependence on imported fuels, historically a weak link in the economy. Developing renewable energy not only fosters environmental health but also generates jobs & trims down long-term energy expenses. Policies that champion renewable energy can lure foreign investments and invigorate local industries. This paves the way for a tougher, self-reliant economy.

5. Fortifying Governance and Anti-Corruption Efforts

Strong governance and anti-corruption efforts are vital to guarantee that the benefits from subsidy cuts don't vanish into inefficiency or corruption. Transparency in government expenditure, especially regarding subsidy fund reallocation, is crucial for fiscal health and public confidence. Implementing stricter anti-corruption laws and boosting accountability in

public service can curb fund misuse and ensure resources steer towards developmental objectives (CBN, 2023). The removal of subsidies opens a door for Nigeria to enact reforms that bolster its economic framework. By broadening the tax base, embracing progressive taxation, investing in infrastructure, and harnessing renewable energy, Nigeria can boost economic stability and enhance the welfare of its people.

Conclusion

The elimination of fuel subsidies in Nigeria signals a major shift in the nation's financial policies. It has deep ramifications for tax strategies and revenue creation!!! This thorough examination has spotlighted the intricate link between subsidy cuts, government spending, and income generation. Firstly, the immediate aftermath of removing subsidies includes soaring fuel costs and heightened inflation. Yet, at the same time, it opens the door for Nigeria to revamp its tax structure, broaden revenue streams, and bolster financial stability. The potential upsides of axing fuel subsidies, such as redistributing funds to essential areas like infrastructure, healthcare, and education, are quite substantial. Nevertheless, these advantages can only be actualized if paired with progressive tax reforms, improved tax compliance, and robust social safety nets to shield vulnerable groups from negative economic effects. Furthermore, the government's capability to execute these strategies will greatly influence the triumph of subsidy removal in nurturing enduring economic stability and growth. Moreover, tackling the socio-economic hurdles triggered by subsidy elimination necessitates a well-rounded strategy. This includes enhancing governance, encouraging renewable energy solutions, and pouring investments into infrastructure. By directing efforts toward these sectors, Nigeria can lessen its reliance on imported fuel, decrease operational costs for enterprises, and forge new paths for economic advancement. In summary, although cutting fuel subsidies brings about immediate economic difficulties, it simultaneously provides a vital chance for Nigeria. It can reinforce its tax framework, diversify its economy, and strive for sustainable development. Yet, this demands meticulous and strategic policy reform implementation. Additionally, measures must be taken to ensure the welfare of society's most vulnerable members. Ultimately, the success of subsidy elimination hinges on the government's acumen in balancing fiscal responsibility with social protection.

REFERENCES

Adeniran, A. I., & Akpan, E. I. (2019). The elimination of fuel subsidies and its influence on Nigeria's economy: An investigative study. Journal of Economic Studies, 46(5), 989–1001.

Ajakaiye, D. O., & Fakiyesi, T. (2009). Global financial crisis discussion series: Document 8: Nigeria. Overseas Development Institute.

Ajayi, A., & Adebayo, A. O. (2021). A critique of the fuel subsidy strategy and its effects on Nigeria's fiscal state. African Journal of Public Policy, 12(1), 22–34.

Alaba, S. O., & Adebayo, A. O. (2021). The consequences of eliminating fuel subsidies on Nigeria's public revenue collection. International Journal of Economics and Finance, 13(4), 95–110.

Ariyo, A. (1997). Effectiveness of the Nigerian tax framework: 1970–1990. African Economic Research Consortium.

Badeeb, R. A., & Lean, H. H. (2017). The influence of natural resources, renewable energy, and economic progress on CO_2 emissions in emerging nations. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 24(21), 17335–17348.

Central Bank of Nigeria. (2023). Annual economic summary. Retrieved from https://www.cbn.gov.ng.

Central Bank of Nigeria. (2022). Economic analysis on the effects of fuel subsidy removal on inflation and taxation. Central Bank of Nigeria. Retrieved from https://www.cbn.gov.ng.

Clements, B., Coady, D., Fabrizio, S., Gupta, S., Alleyne, T., & Sdralevich, C. (Eds.). (2013). Energy subsidy reform: Insights and implications. International Monetary Fund.

Ejiogu, A., & Ezeani, E. O. (2017). Tax reforms and economic viability in Nigeria: A historical perspective. African Journal of Taxation Studies, 13(1), 55–75.

Fairclough, N. (2013). Critical discourse analysis: Analyzing language critically (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Federal Government of Nigeria. (2023). National tax regulations. Federal Ministry of Finance. Retrieved from https://www.finance.gov.ng.

International Monetary Fund, (2020). Nigeria: Taxation overhaul and its significance in fiscal consolidation. IMF Country Report No. 20/20.

Jhingan, M. L. (2017). Economics of development & planning (45th edition). Vrinda Publications.

Merriam, S. B. & Tisdell, E. J. (2015). Qualitative study: A blueprint for design and execution. John Wiley & Sons.

National Bureau of Statistics (2023). Consumer price index & inflation dossier. Retrieved from https://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng.

National Bureau of Statistics (2021). Nigeria's economic performance dossier: Fuel subsidy effects. National Bureau of Statistics. Retrieved from https://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng.

Nigerian Economic Summit Group (2022). Overhauling taxation & fiscal strategy in Nigeria: A blueprint for economic expansion. Nigerian Economic Summit Group. Retrieved from https://www.nesgroup.org.

Obansa, S. A. & Ighodaro, C. A. (2019). Fiscal policy reactions to subsidy removal in Nigeria: Hurdles and prospects. Journal of Economic Policy Reform, 22(3), 298–311.

Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), (2020). Tax policy scrutiny: Nigeria. OECD Publishing.

Oke, O. A. & Adedeji, O. O. (2016). Public finance and economic development in Nigeria. University Press Ltd.

Okonjo-Iweala, N. (2018). Combating corruption is perilous: The narrative behind the headlines. MIT Press.

Patton, M. Q. (2015). Qualitative research & evaluation strategies (4th edition). Sage.

Salami, K. I., & Adedeji, A. (2020). Nigeria's financial transformation and the elimination of fuel subsidies: Effects on public sector earnings. Journal of Public Administration & Governance, 10(3), 112-128.

Sala-i-Martin, X., & Subramanian, A. (2003). Tackling the natural resource dilemma: A case study from Nigeria. IMF Working Paper, 03/139.

Soludo, C. C. (2018). Nigeria's economic hurdles: Reforms & growth strategies! Oxford University Press.

Uche, C., & Okon, F. (2018). Revenue generation tactics after subsidy removal in Nigeria: A novel approach. International Journal of Public Sector Management, 31(3), 121-136.

Ude, D. K., Etuk, E. H., & Okon, A. A. (2021). Fuel subsidy elimination and its economic consequences in Nigeria. Journal of Economic Development, 46(2), 65-79.

United Nations Economic Commission for Africa. (2020). The consequences of subsidy removal on regional economic unity in Africa: A Nigerian case study. UNECA Policy Paper Series.

The Nigerian Ministry of Finance. (2021). Fiscal strategy and subsidy elimination: Long-term viability in Nigeria. Ministry of Finance Retrieved from https://www.finance.gov.ng.

The World Bank. (2021). Nigeria: The influence of subsidy reforms on the fiscal landscape. World Bank Report.